

LAB – TEST LABORATORIUM S.C.
ul. Piastów 6, 40-868 KATOWICE



AB 815

TEST REPORT NR DZ/33/05/20 CONTAINS 6 NUMBERED PAGES

This test report may not be reproduced except as a whole without the written permission from the Laboratory

Evaluation of activity according to PN-EN 1500:2013-07 'Hygienic handrub'

A. Laboratory:

LAB – TEST LABORATORIUM S.C. 40-868 KATOWICE, Poland

B. Identification of the sample:

1. Product tested..... **LogicSept**
2. Batch..... na
3. Test sponsor..... MP Logistics
4. Date of delivery..... 08.05.2020; sample delivered by the test sponsor
5. Storage conditions..... ambient
6. Active substances:..... 0,25% BKC, 25% propyl alcohol

C.1. Test method..... handrub according to PN-EN 1500:2013-07

D. Test conditions:

1. Test period..... 08.05.2020 – 13.05.2020
2. Number of persons / hands in the test..... 20 / 20x2
3. Test strain..... *Escherichia coli* K12 NCTC 10538
4. Neutralizer..... Tween 80, 100 g/l; lecithin 30 g/l; sodium thiosulphate 5 g/l, in TSB
5. Soft soap..... prepared according to PN-EN 1500:2013-07
6. Volume of product for single rub..... 3,0 ml
7. Single handrub time..... 30 s
8. Number of handrubs..... 2
9. Handrub procedure..... according to annex A to PN-EN 1500:2013-07
10. Incubation..... 37°C ± 1°C; 48 h

E. Test results..... tables 1 – 4

F. Conclusion:

Product: **LogicSept** tested according to **PN-EN 1500:2013-07**, applied: 3 ml on dry hands, 30 s handrub, repeated, is not significantly worse than the reference procedure, and so meets the requirements of **PN-EN 1500:2013-07 'Hygienic handrub'**.

TABLE 1**dilution – neutralization validation**Product: **LogicSept**

| test strain | validation test | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | bacterial suspensions | neutralizer control | dilution-neutralization control |
| Escherichia coli K12 NCTC 10538 | Vc: 10 ⁻³ : 108;127 Nv ₀ : 1,2·10 ² Nv _B : 1,2·10 ⁵ | Vc: 102;129 B: 1,2·10 ² | Vc: 69;73 C: 7,1·10 ¹ |

N_v - cfu/ml in the bacterial suspension in the dilution-neutralization control test, Nv₀ = N_v/10N_{vB} - cfu/ml in the bacterial suspension in the neutralizer toxicity control test,

B - cfu/ml in neutralizer control test

C - cfu/ml in the dilution - neutralization control test

Validation criteria verification:

$3,0 \cdot 10^2 \leq N_v \leq 1,6 \cdot 10^3$

-

met

$C \geq 0,5 N_{v_0}$

-

met

$3,0 \cdot 10^4 \leq N_{vB} \leq 1,6 \cdot 10^5$

-

met

$B \geq 0,0005 N_{vB}$

-

met

TABLE 2 Reference handrub procedure – experimental results

Reference product: 2-propanol 60% v/v
 Application: 3 ml on dry hands; 30 s rub; performed 2 times
 Test date: 11.05.2020
 Test strain: *Escherichia coli* K12 NCTC 10538
 Microbial suspension: $4,1 \cdot 10^8$ cfu/ml

| Nr | subject hand L left/ R right | Number of cfu / 1,0 ml TSB on plate from dilutions | | | | | |
|----|------------------------------------|--|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | pre-count | | | post-count | | |
| | | 10^{-3} | 10^{-4} | 10^{-5} | 10^{-1} | 10^{-2} | 10^{-3} |
| 1 | L | <u>157</u> | <u>17</u> | 10 | <u>9</u> | 1 | 0 |
| | R | <u>241</u> | <u>27</u> | 3 | <u>2</u> | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | L | >330 | <u>88</u> | 10 | <u>12</u> | 1 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>105</u> | 12 | <u>42</u> | 3 | 0 |
| 3 | L | >330 | <u>53</u> | 6 | <u>66</u> | 5 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>74</u> | 6 | <u>21</u> | 2 | 0 |
| 4 | L | >330 | <u>61</u> | 7 | <u>73</u> | 9 | 1 |
| | R | >330 | <u>85</u> | 11 | <u>48</u> | 5 | 0 |
| 5 | L | >330 | <u>64</u> | 8 | <u>62</u> | 9 | 1 |
| | R | >330 | <u>97</u> | 11 | <u>22</u> | 2 | 0 |
| 6 | L | >330 | <u>41</u> | 5 | <u>26</u> | 3 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>81</u> | 13 | <u>35</u> | 4 | 0 |
| 7 | L | <u>321</u> | <u>36</u> | 4 | <u>9</u> | 1 | 0 |
| | R | <u>149</u> | <u>16</u> | 1 | <u>5</u> | 1 | 0 |
| 8 | L | <u>209</u> | <u>26</u> | 2 | <u>12</u> | 3 | 0 |
| | R | <u>192</u> | <u>23</u> | 4 | <u>2</u> | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | L | >330 | <u>93</u> | 9 | <u>78</u> | 10 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>107</u> | 13 | <u>54</u> | 5 | 0 |
| 10 | L | <u>157</u> | <u>18</u> | 1 | <u>4</u> | 0 | 0 |
| | R | <u>124</u> | 9 | 1 | <u>8</u> | 1 | 0 |
| 11 | L | >330 | <u>121</u> | <u>15</u> | <u>72</u> | 8 | 1 |
| | R | >330 | <u>36</u> | 4 | <u>23</u> | 2 | 0 |
| 12 | L | >330 | <u>55</u> | 7 | <u>37</u> | 4 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>75</u> | 8 | <u>44</u> | 5 | 0 |
| 13 | L | >330 | <u>43</u> | 3 | <u>11</u> | 1 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>62</u> | 7 | <u>30</u> | 2 | 0 |
| 14 | L | >330 | <u>95</u> | 11 | <u>5</u> | 1 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>80</u> | 7 | <u>25</u> | 3 | 0 |
| 15 | L | >330 | <u>72</u> | 5 | <u>69</u> | 6 | 1 |
| | R | >330 | <u>46</u> | 4 | <u>28</u> | 2 | 0 |
| 16 | L | >330 | <u>60</u> | 8 | <u>40</u> | 3 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>39</u> | 4 | <u>7</u> | 1 | 0 |
| 17 | L | >330 | <u>56</u> | 5 | <u>4</u> | 0 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>89</u> | 11 | <u>8</u> | 1 | 0 |
| 18 | L | >330 | <u>113</u> | <u>14</u> | <u>6</u> | 0 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>41</u> | 6 | <u>19</u> | 2 | 0 |
| 19 | L | >330 | <u>53</u> | 7 | <u>12</u> | 1 | 0 |
| | R | <u>309</u> | <u>35</u> | 3 | <u>41</u> | 5 | 0 |
| 20 | L | >330 | <u>52</u> | 5 | <u>34</u> | 4 | 0 |
| | R | <u>233</u> | <u>28</u> | 4 | <u>15</u> | 1 | 0 |

TABLE 3 Handrub test procedure – experimental results

Test product: **LogicSept**
 Application: 3 ml on dry hands; 30 s rub, performed 2 times
 Test date: 11.05.2020
 Test strain: *Escherichia coli* K12 NCTC 10538
 Microbial suspension: $4,1 \cdot 10^8$ cfu/ml

| Nr | subject hand L left/ R right | Number of cfu / 1,0 ml TSB on plate from dilutions | | | | | |
|----|------------------------------------|--|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | pre-count | | | post-count | | |
| | | 10^{-3} | 10^{-4} | 10^{-5} | 10^{-1} | 10^{-2} | 10^{-3} |
| 1 | L | <u>208</u> | <u>23</u> | 2 | <u>15</u> | 1 | 0 |
| | R | <u>310</u> | <u>36</u> | 3 | <u>32</u> | 4 | 0 |
| 2 | L | >330 | <u>67</u> | 6 | <u>70</u> | 7 | 1 |
| | R | >330 | <u>138</u> | 12 | <u>197</u> | <u>17</u> | 2 |
| 3 | L | >330 | <u>94</u> | 13 | <u>91</u> | 9 | 1 |
| | R | >330 | <u>109</u> | 10 | <u>42</u> | 4 | 0 |
| 4 | L | <u>306</u> | <u>34</u> | 3 | <u>26</u> | 3 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>45</u> | 6 | <u>18</u> | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | L | >330 | <u>147</u> | 13 | <u>85</u> | 7 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>166</u> | <u>21</u> | <u>115</u> | 13 | 0 |
| 6 | L | >330 | <u>56</u> | 7 | <u>57</u> | 6 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>63</u> | 8 | <u>69</u> | 10 | 1 |
| 7 | L | <u>211</u> | <u>19</u> | 3 | <u>11</u> | 1 | 0 |
| | R | <u>89</u> | 6 | 0 | <u>5</u> | 1 | 0 |
| 8 | L | <u>288</u> | <u>34</u> | 3 | <u>37</u> | 5 | 0 |
| | R | <u>163</u> | <u>14</u> | 1 | <u>2</u> | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | L | >330 | <u>127</u> | <u>14</u> | <u>230</u> | <u>26</u> | 2 |
| | R | >330 | <u>151</u> | <u>15</u> | <u>186</u> | <u>18</u> | 3 |
| 10 | L | <u>52</u> | 5 | 0 | <u>4</u> | 0 | 0 |
| | R | <u>81</u> | 9 | 1 | <u>7</u> | 1 | 0 |
| 11 | L | >330 | <u>173</u> | <u>15</u> | <u>44</u> | 5 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>204</u> | <u>19</u> | <u>207</u> | <u>19</u> | 2 |
| 12 | L | >330 | <u>78</u> | 11 | <u>10</u> | 1 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>114</u> | 12 | <u>82</u> | 7 | 1 |
| 13 | L | >330 | <u>39</u> | 5 | <u>24</u> | 3 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>92</u> | 11 | <u>38</u> | 4 | 0 |
| 14 | L | >330 | <u>79</u> | 9 | <u>117</u> | 13 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>63</u> | 5 | <u>87</u> | 9 | 1 |
| 15 | L | >330 | <u>130</u> | <u>14</u> | <u>125</u> | 11 | 1 |
| | R | >330 | <u>46</u> | 3 | <u>32</u> | 3 | 0 |
| 16 | L | >330 | <u>60</u> | 7 | <u>26</u> | 2 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>77</u> | 9 | <u>73</u> | 5 | 1 |
| 17 | L | >330 | <u>96</u> | 11 | <u>66</u> | 8 | 1 |
| | R | >330 | <u>83</u> | 12 | <u>154</u> | 13 | 1 |
| 18 | L | >330 | <u>54</u> | 7 | <u>91</u> | 8 | 1 |
| | R | >330 | <u>65</u> | 7 | <u>52</u> | 4 | 0 |
| 19 | L | >330 | <u>80</u> | 8 | <u>17</u> | 2 | 0 |
| | R | >330 | <u>73</u> | 10 | <u>58</u> | 6 | 1 |
| 20 | L | >330 | <u>45</u> | 3 | <u>71</u> | 9 | 1 |
| | R | >330 | <u>118</u> | <u>15</u> | <u>104</u> | 12 | 1 |

TABLE 4

Calculated logs (means for two hands) and reduction factors according to tables 2,3

| Test person/testing order | | Reference procedure (R) 2 – propanol 60% | | | Test procedure (P) LogicSept | | |
|--|-------|---|-------|---|---------------------------------|-------|-------|
| | | Log x | Log y | Log z | Log x | Log y | Log z |
| 1 | PP/RP | 6,29 | 1,63 | 4,66 | 6,41 | 2,34 | 4,07 |
| 2 | | 6,98 | 2,35 | 4,63 | 6,98 | 3,07 | 3,91 |
| 3 | | 6,80 | 2,57 | 4,23 | 7,01 | 2,79 | 4,22 |
| 4 | | 6,86 | 2,77 | 4,09 | 6,57 | 2,34 | 4,23 |
| 5 | | 6,90 | 2,57 | 4,33 | 7,20 | 3,00 | 4,20 |
| 6 | | 6,76 | 2,48 | 4,28 | 6,77 | 2,80 | 3,97 |
| 7 | | 6,34 | 1,83 | 4,51 | 6,13 | 1,87 | 4,26 |
| 8 | | 6,31 | 1,69 | 4,62 | 6,34 | 1,93 | 4,41 |
| 9 | | 7,00 | 2,81 | 4,19 | 7,14 | 3,32 | 3,82 |
| 10 | | 6,15 | 1,75 | 4,40 | 5,81 | 1,72 | 4,09 |
| 11 | RP/PP | 6,82 | 2,61 | 4,21 | 7,27 | 2,98 | 4,29 |
| 12 | | 6,81 | 2,61 | 4,20 | 6,97 | 2,46 | 4,51 |
| 13 | | 6,71 | 2,26 | 4,45 | 6,78 | 2,48 | 4,30 |
| 14 | | 6,94 | 2,05 | 4,89 | 6,85 | 3,00 | 3,85 |
| 15 | | 6,76 | 2,64 | 4,12 | 6,89 | 2,80 | 4,09 |
| 16 | | 6,68 | 2,22 | 4,46 | 6,83 | 2,66 | 4,17 |
| 17 | | 6,85 | 1,75 | 5,10 | 6,95 | 3,00 | 3,95 |
| 18 | | 6,84 | 2,03 | 4,81 | 6,77 | 2,84 | 3,93 |
| 19 | | 6,61 | 2,31 | 4,30 | 6,88 | 2,50 | 4,38 |
| 20 | | 6,55 | 2,35 | 4,20 | 6,87 | 2,93 | 3,94 |
| Total | | | | | | | |
| X(m) | | 6,70 | 2,26 | 4,43 | 6,77 | 2,64 | 4,13 |
| S | | 0,25 | 0,38 | 0,28 | 0,36 | 0,43 | 0,20 |
| N | | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Testing order: PP / RP | | | | | | | |
| X(m) | | 6,64 | 2,25 | 4,39 | 6,64 | 2,52 | 4,12 |
| S | | 0,33 | 0,47 | 0,2 | 0,46 | 0,56 | 0,18 |
| N | | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Testing order: RP / PP | | | | | | | |
| X(m) | | 6,76 | 2,28 | 4,47 | 6,91 | 2,77 | 4,14 |
| S | | 0,12 | 0,29 | 0,34 | 0,14 | 0,22 | 0,22 |
| N | | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Log x = log of pre-count value Log y = log of post-count value Log z = log of reduction factor | | | | S = standard deviation X(m) = mean N = number of subjects in the test | | | |

Mean reduction for the product (4,13) is lower than for the reference procedure (4,43).

TABLE 5

**Computation of individual differences of IgR for:
RP (reference procedure) and PP (test procedure for: LogicSept)**

| person | Log RF for: | | Difference RP-PP |
|--------|-------------|------|---------------------|
| | RP | PP | |
| 1 | 4,66 | 4,07 | 0,59 |
| 2 | 4,63 | 3,91 | 0,72 |
| 3 | 4,23 | 4,22 | 0,01 |
| 4 | 4,09 | 4,23 | -0,14 |
| 5 | 4,33 | 4,20 | 0,13 |
| 6 | 4,28 | 3,97 | 0,31 |
| 7 | 4,51 | 4,26 | 0,25 |
| 8 | 4,62 | 4,41 | 0,21 |
| 9 | 4,19 | 3,82 | 0,37 |
| 10 | 4,40 | 4,09 | 0,31 |
| 11 | 4,21 | 4,29 | -0,08 |
| 12 | 4,20 | 4,51 | -0,31 |
| 13 | 4,45 | 4,30 | 0,15 |
| 14 | 4,89 | 3,85 | 1,04 |
| 15 | 4,12 | 4,09 | 0,03 |
| 16 | 4,46 | 4,17 | 0,29 |
| 17 | 5,10 | 3,95 | 1,15 |
| 18 | 4,81 | 3,93 | 0,88 |
| 19 | 4,30 | 4,38 | -0,08 |
| 20 | 4,20 | 3,94 | 0,26 |

Statistical comparison – sorting of individual differences and computation for Hodges-Lehmann
97,5% upper confidence limit test.

The agreed inferiority margin is 0,6.

Because only 31 mean-pair differences are larger than 0,6, for the critical value of 52 such differences
for 20 paired data different than 0, the hypothesis of inferiority of **PP (test procedure for: LogicSept)**
to **RP (reference procedure)** is rejected with level of significance at least 0,25.

Signed off: Lab-test deputy manager.....Date